## The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP<sup>TM</sup>):

Service Score Results: Baseline

| Name of Program and Service: Justice Works Y   | outhCare, Inc., Violation  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cohort Total: 45   |  | SPEP ID: <u>210-T0</u> 1  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selected Timeframe: Jul. 1, 2016 - Jun. 30, 2016   |  | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date(s) of Interview(s): July 27, 2017   |  | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives: <u>Jeff Grego</u>   | o, Bill Keim & Ryan Alena  | Berks Co. & Lisa Freese, EPISCenter   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Person Preparing Report: Lisa Freese   |  | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Description of Service:</b> This should include a <b>brief</b> of if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referrielevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP states.   | red, how the service is delivere   | ed, the purpose of service and any other  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Violation Initiative Program (VIP®), operated by Justice We the supervision of the Berks County Juvenile Probation Office we removed from their homes due to violations of probation supervision and to also assist with supplemental referrals to othe out-of-home placement.   | who are moderate to high risk ision. The intent of the prog  | to reoffend and/or at risk of being ram is to provide counseling, support,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| It also functions as a re-entry component to supervision following entry back into their home community. The Family Resource Sp. Standard VIP® is 2 hours per week of face to face youth contact of 6 hours over a period of 7 days per week. The probation office the juvenile's risk score and current level of supervision compliants school, work when applicable, and "leisure time" activities. Based eveloped with the youth and family within 48 hours of first contact. | pecialist (FRS) provides sup<br>t, Intensive VIP® is 4 hour<br>the staff decides what level of<br>the the the the the the the the the<br>the the the the the the the the the the | ervision at varying levels of service.<br>s per week, and Full VIP® is a minimum<br>f service should be provided based upon<br>e for monitoring each youth at home,<br>by the probation office, a treatment plan is |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The four characteristics of a service found trecidivism:   | o be the most stron  | ngly related to reducing  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. SPEPTM Service Type: Mentoring  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifyin   | g supplemental servic  | e? Yes  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If so, what is the Service type? Behavioral Cont   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0  | le for this Service Type: 30  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was the supplemental service provided? No  | Total Pollits Possib   | le for this service Type:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Po   | oints Earned: 25   | Total Points Possible: _35_   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Po   | ints Earned: <sup>20</sup>   | Total Points Possible: 20   |  |  |  |  |  |

| 3.   | Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohor received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth she receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.  Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 2  Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Total Points Earned:2 Total Points Possible: _20_   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.   | Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 12 points youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 8 points  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Points Earned: Total Points Possible: _25   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basic SPEPTM Score: 67 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.)  Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Program Optimization Percentage:</b> This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The SPEP and Performance Improvement  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JusticeWorks Youthcare Inc. VIP® scored a 67 for the Basic Score and a 71% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 4 service- Mentoring. The program could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through: |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. 7   | The addition of a Supplemental Service- Behavioral Management.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Exploring ways to increase the duration (26 weeks) and dosage (78 hours) youth receive the Violation Initiative Program to achieve the targeted amounts.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Working in collaboration with the Juvenile Probation Office to increase the percentage of High Risk youth receiving the service and eliminate Low Risk youth from the cohort.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J  | Rewriting the service description to reclassify the service as Mentoring and working with the uvenile Probation Office to educate probation staff on the target population, duration, and losage of the service.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM): Service Score Results: Reassessment 1 SPEPTM ID and Time: 0210-T02 JusticeWorks Family of Services, Inc. Agency Name: JusticeWorks Family of Services, Inc. – Berks Office Program Name: Service Name: Violation Initiative Program (VIP®) Cohort Total: 83 for Amount of Service, 82 for Level of Risk Timeframe of Selected Cohort: March 20, 2019 - February 20, 2021 Referral County(s): Berks Date(s) of Interview(s): April 8, 2021 and May 12, 2021

Lead County: Berks

Probation Representative(s): Jeff Gregro, Deputy Chief

EPIS Representative: Lisa Freese

## **Description of Service:**

The Berks County JusticeWorks Family of Services office opened its door in 2008 providing services to juvenile justice-involved families through services that meet varying social and community needs. Services started with their Violation Initiative Program (VIP) that focused on identifying and navigating community resources relevant to youth and family needs, as well as skill building to allow for new adaptive behaviors to help build viable and long-term skills. Other services provided include: Voices, a program of self-discovery and empowerment for girls is a program for self-discovery and empowerment for girls who gave experienced substance abuse or trauma related to abuse. The program advocates a strength-based approach that helps girls to identify and apply their power and voices as individuals and as a group. Casework Counseling is similar to VIP in that casework and skill building services are provided to those families who may need minimal or brief assistance in meeting their probation goals. The Reintegration Service is family-focused and begins at court when a youth is referred to placement. While the youth is in placement, the Reintegration Specialist will assist the family with case management, transportation, video conferencing parenting and in-person visits with the youth and regular contact with the treatment team. Parenting covers topics such as teen behavior, constructive communication, and consequences and rewards. PACTT affiliate/OVR IL group: The Pennsylvania Academic and Career/Technical Training (PACTT) Alliance Employability/Soft Skills Manual (ESSM) provides guidance and uniformity to the work-ready training activities offered to delinquent youth. The identified competencies represent an important foundation for a youth's employability skills training. Through our PACTT affiliation, JusticeWorks also has the ability to offer an independent living skills group to those youth who meet OVR/BJJS criteria (funded through OVR).

The focus of this report is the Violation Initiative Program (VIP®). VIP®, is a community-based program for youth under the supervision of the Berks County Juvenile Probation Office, who are moderate to high risk to reoffend and/or at risk of being removed from their homes due to violations of probation supervision. The intent of the program is to provide counseling, support, supervision and to also assist with supplemental referrals to other community agencies in order to reduce the likelihood of long-term out-of-home placement. It also functions as a re-entry component to supervision following an out-of-home placement to ensure a youth's smooth, seamless entry back into their home community. The Family Resource Specialist (FRS) provides supervision at varying levels of service. The FRS is responsible for monitoring each youth at home, school, work when applicable, and "leisure time" activities. Based upon the goals outlined by the probation office, a treatment plan is developed with the youth and family within 48 hours of first contact. VIP® services are typically provided for 4 -6 months

| The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:   |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Service Type: Mentoring   |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? Yes   |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If so, what is the Service Type? Behavioral Management  |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was the supplemental service provided? Yes Total Points Possible for this Service Type:   | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Received: 30 Total Points Possible:  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed. |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Received: 20 Total Points Possible:  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service categorization. Each SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and contact hours. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. |  |  |  |  |  |                              |   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|---|
|   | nts received for Duration or Nonts received for Contact Hours  |  | 4 4  |  |  |                              |   |
|   |  | Total Points R   | eceived:   | 8  | <b>Total Points Pos</b>  | sible:                       | 20  |
|   | outh Risk Level: The risk level total % of youth who score above   |  |  |  |  | ove low r                    | isk, and  |
| 76<br>14  | ·  |  |  |  | a total of youth   | 10                           | points points   |
|   |  | Total Points   | Received:  | 13   | Total Points Po  | ssible:                      | 25  |
|   | e SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Score: 71 total pose. (e.g. individual counseling co  | oints received out of 100 po<br>impared to cognitive behave  |  |  |  |                              |   |
| Note:   | Services with scores greater th  | an or equal to 50 show the   | service is l   | having a j                                     | positive impact on   | recidivis                    | m reduction.  |
|   | Program Optimization Percentage: 75% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (e.g. individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research.)     |  |  |  |  |                              |   |
| The SP  | PEP <sup>TM</sup> and Performance I  | mprovement   |  |  |  |                              |   |
| for perfo   | nded use of the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> is to optormance improvement are includance Improvement Plan, a shared  | ed in the service Feedback   | Report, and  | these rec                                      | ommendations are   | the focus                    | s of the  |
|   | eived a 71 for the Basic Score and the initial SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Assessment. That.  |  |  | _  | -  |                              | -   |
| Manageme<br>Level. For<br>recommen<br>moderate r<br>supplemen   | e was classified as a Group 4 serent found in the research, which a Amount of Service, 51% of the ded targeted contact hours for the risk, 17% high risk, and 0% very stal service. Amount of service resing the following recommendations | was used to enhance this ser<br>youth received the recomme<br>is service type. The Risk Le<br>high risk. The addition of a<br>effected a 6pont increase as | vice. The Q<br>ended target<br>evels of You<br>behavior co | Quality of Sted weeks ath admitted ontract con | Service Delivery was of duration and 40% and to the service we attributed to a 5 points. | s found to of the yee: 7% lo | to be at a High<br>youth received the<br>w risk, 76%<br>e as a qualifying |
| <ol> <li>Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:         <ul> <li>Written Protocol:</li> <li>Consider a routine review of the written protocol for VIP®. Document the date of the review on the first page of the protocol.</li> <li>Staff Training:                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ol>                     |  |  |  |  |  |                              |   |
| _   | ng Amount of Service: aborate with Berks County Juven  | ile Probation to continue to   | improve bo   | th duratio                                     | n and dosage.  |                              |   |
| 3. Regardi  | ng Risk Level of Youth Served: aborate with Berks County Juven   |  | -  |  | -  |                              |   |

™Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the "Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User's Guide." Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 7.26.2021

3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the