## The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP<sup>TM</sup>):

Service Score Results:		Baseline	SPEP <sup>™</sup> ID and Contact Time: 0349-T01						
Agency/Program Name:	Clear V	ision Residential Treatment Services, Inc.							
Service Name:	Individual Counseling								
Cohort Total:	18								
Cohort Time Frame:	Youth that began the service on/after January 1, 2021 and ended on/before April 30, 2023								
Referral County(s):	Clinton (1); Dauphin (2); Lehigh (1); Luzerne (3); Lycoming (3); Mifflin (2); Monroe (1): Montgomery (2); Schuylkill (3)								
Feedback Report Delivery: August 29, 2023									
County/Probation Officer(s) Involved: Bill Keim and Christine Anderton - Berks County Juvenile Probation									
		Ayla Allen - Mifflin County Juvenile F	Probation						

EPIS SIS(s): Dawn Karoscik, Lisa Freese, and Lisa Fetzer

The Clear Vision Residential Facility is a 25 bed staff secure residential service licensed by the Department of Human Service and is fully staffed 24 hours per day. Clear Vision provides care for adolescent females, adjudicated delinquent or dependent, who require placement services outside the home for social, emotional, and behavioral problems. While all referrals are evaluated/interviewed on an individual basis, appropriate adolescent females should be between the ages of 13-19, able to live in a staff-secured environment and pose no serious threat to themselves or to others. Clear Vision also accepts girls who are pregnant. All residents are required to attend school managed by BLaST IU17, through the public school system of Montgomery Area School District, Lycoming County. While the students focus on education, numerous services are incorporated into the treatment plan. Clear Vision is a goal-oriented program (not a level system). Adolescent females who have a violent assault history, severe mental health issues, or who are actively psychotic typically would not be appropriate candidates. Life Skills Training, Family and Individual Counseling, Group Counseling, and Thinking for a Change are a few treatment modalities utilized.

This report focuses on Individual Counseling. The individual counseling service offers daily sessions ranging from 5 minutes to 20 minutes for youth. Each session is meticulously logged, documenting the discussions and activities that occur within the community. In addition to the primary counselors, there are two additional counselors who work as part of a team.

One key aspect of the counseling service is to work with youth in setting goals, developing plans, and addressing various issues they may be facing. The counselors actively engage with the youth, creating Individualized Service Plan (ISP) goals. They also participate in team meetings, which involve professionals from neuropsychology, Juvenile Probation Office/Child and Youth Services (JPO/CYS) referral sources, and other community support sources.

Another important aspect of the counseling service is to provide a platform for youth to discuss specific topics or issues they may be experiencing in class. The counselors assist the youth in brainstorming solutions and navigating through challenges. They also ensure that homework is submitted in the appropriate location and teach techniques for taking a 5-minute break to cool down when necessary.

The counseling service follows a team approach, enabling the counselors to collaborate effectively and provide comprehensive support to the youth.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the mo	st strongly relate	ed to redu	cing recidivism.				
<b>1. SPEP<sup>TM</sup> Service Type:</b> Individual Counseling	ist strongly relate	u to redu	enig reclarvisin.				
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying suppl	emental service?	No					
If so, what is the Service Type? There is no qualifying s	upplemental servi	ce					
Was the supplemental service provided? N/A	Total Points Possible for this Service Type:			10			
Total Po	oints Received:	10	<b>Total Points Possible:</b>	35			
2. <u>Quality of Service</u> : Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.							
Total Po	ints Received:	5	<b>Total Points Possible:</b>	20			

3. <u>Amount of Service</u> : Score was derived by calculating the total number of v service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for SPEP <sup>™</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth show greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this	or the a	SPEP <sup>™</sup> service c eive the targeted a	ategorization mounts to	on. Each have the
10youth in the cohort of18received the targeted Duration or Number of7youth in the cohort of18of received the targeted Dosage or Number of			4 poi 2 poi	ints ints
Total Points Received:	6	Total Points Po	ossible:	20
<b>4.</b> <u>Youth Risk Level</u> : The risk level score is compiled by calculating the tota the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on t Youth admitted to the service were: 1 low risk, 6 moderate risk, 10 , hi	the res	sults of the YLS.	The Risk I	
$\frac{16}{10}$ youth in the cohort of $\frac{17}{17}$ are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{17}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a term of $\frac{16}{10}$ are High YLS Risk Level for a term of			10 poi 13 poi	
Total Points Received:	23	Total Points Po	ssible:	25
Basic SPEPTM Score:       44       total points received out of 100 points. Compares service. (e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, set Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is havin Program Optimization Percentage:       59%         This percentage compared to all other individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling	o <i>cial s</i> . <b>ng a pa</b> vice to	<i>kills training, mer</i> <b>ositive impact on</b> o the same service	<i>itoring, etc.</i> <b>recidivism</b> types foun	.) <i>reduction.</i> d in the
The SPEP <sup>TM</sup> and Performance Improvement	5 507 71		, rescuren.	)
The intended use of the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidir improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recomme			fenders. Th	e service could
1. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:				
<ul> <li>a. Written Protocol:</li> <li>i. Create a manual that explains the process of creating an Individual Service Plaii. Identify in writing youth most appropriate for the service.</li> <li>iii. Ensure that there is consistent documentation of case notes for each session of iv. Include in the manual the process of reviewing and updating the ISP that is oc v. Review and update the manual at pre-determined timeframes and document w b. Staff Training:</li> </ul>	f the securrin	ervice. g every 3 months.	ives the ser	vice.
<ul><li>i. Create a policy that explains the specific training required to deliver the service</li><li>c. Staff Supervision:</li></ul>	e and o	document when th	e training is	s completed.
i. Create a mechanism that ensures consistent usage of the case review that is occ ii. Provide staff with written feedback that occurs from the case reviews.	curring	g every 2 weeks.		
<ul> <li>iii. Performance reviews or evaluations should include a reference to the quality o Counseling.</li> </ul>	of servi	ce delivery specif	ic to the de	livery of Individual
<ul> <li>d. Organizational Response to Drift:</li> <li>i. Create a policy that identifies and addresses departure from fidelity and quality failure to adhere to job responsibilities.</li> <li>ii. Document when the policy is utilized or reviewed.</li> <li>iii. Include a specific set of corrective action steps should drift from service deliver iv. Create a mechanism to collect data on the effectiveness of Individual Counseli v. Utilize the data to adapt or improve service delivery.</li> </ul>	ery occ	•	just person	nel sanctions for
<ul><li>2. Regarding Amount of Service:</li><li>a. While the population of Clear Vision has been dominantly child welfare referrals paperwork and staff testimony during court reviews for delinquent females, inclu and weeks that an individual counseling service should be, as supported by researched by research</li></ul>	ide lan	guage supporting	the targeted	

<sup>™</sup>Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the "Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User's Guide." Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 3.28.2023