The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Baseline SPEPTM ID: 286-T01

Agency Name: The Academy

Program Name: Montgomery County Community Based Services

Service Name: Aggression Replacement Training® (ART®)

Cohort Total: 66

Timeframe of Selected Cohort: All delinquent youth who began this service on/after April 2, 2018 and ended this service on/before October 8, 2019

Referral County(s): Montgomery

Date(s) of Interview(s): July 15, 2019

Lead County: Montgomery

Probation Representative(s): Charlie Root

EPIS Representative: Kevin Perluke

Description of Service:

Aggression Replacement Training® (ART®) is an evidence-based curriculum proven to help juveniles reduce aggressive behavior and develop pro-social and moral reasoning skills. ART® is a multimodal psycho-educational intervention designed to alter the behavior of chronically aggressive adolescents and young children. The program incorporates three specific interventions: Skillstreaming, Anger Control Training, and Moral Reasoning Training. Skillstreaming uses modeling, role-playing, performance feedback, and transfer training to teach pro-social skills. Anger Control Training requires participating youth to bring one or more descriptions of recent anger-arousing experiences (hassles) to each session. Over the duration of the program, youth are trained in how to respond to their hassles. Moral Reasoning Training is designed to enhance youths' sense of fairness and justice regarding the needs and rights of others. It is also designed to train youth to imagine the perspectives of others when they confront various moral problem situations. ART® consists of a 10-week, 30-hour intervention administered to groups of 8 to 12 youth three times weekly. During these 10 weeks, participating youth typically attend three 1-hour sessions per week, one session each of Skillstreaming, Anger Control Training, and Moral Reasoning Training. The program relies on repetitive learning techniques to teach participants to control impulsiveness and anger, resulting in using more appropriate behaviors. In addition, guided group discussion is used to correct antisocial thinking. Differences exist regarding the targeted duration (i.e., weeks) and dosage (i.e., contact hours) for the delivery of ART® in a residential program versus delivery of ART® in a community-based program.

The four	characteristics	of a service	found to	be the	most strongly	related to	reducing	recidivism:
		01 00 001 110		~~~~~				

1. **SPEPTM Service Type:** Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? $\ensuremath{\text{No}}$

If so, what is the Service Type? There is no qualifying supplemental service

Was the supplemental service provided? No Total Points Possible for this Service Type: ____35__

Total Points Received: 35 Total Points Possible: 35

2. <u>Quality of Service</u>: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.

Total Points Received:	20	Total Points Possible:	20

categorization. Each SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of duration and contact hours. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.
Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 10 Points received for Contact Hours or Number of Hours: 10
Total Points Received: 20 Total Points Possible: 20
4. <u>Youth Risk Level</u>: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.
youth in the cohort are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of points
Total Points Received: _5 Total Points Possible:25
Total Points Received:5 Total Points Possible:25 Basic SPEP TM Score:80 total points received out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP TM therapeutic service. (e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills
Total Points Received:5 Total Points Possible:25

3. <u>Amount of Service</u>: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEPTM service

The SPEPTM and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service Feedback Report, and these recommendations are the focus of the Performance Improvement Plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the juvenile probation department.

- 1. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
 - a. Written Protocol:
 - i. Add the criteria for youth that are most appropriate for this service to the manual.
 - ii. Ensure youth selection for service components is clearly described in the policies and procedures.
 - b. Staff Training:
 - i. Develop written policy regarding the training requirements.
 - c. Staff Supervision:
 - i. Ensure weekly supervision meetings are documented.
 - ii. Create predetermined time frames at which staff are provided written feedback and/or performance appraisals from their supervisors.
 - d. Organizational Response to Drift:
 - i. Ensure the date last revised is added to the existing policy and procedure to identify departure from the fidelity and quality of service delivery.
 - ii. Ensure documentation is developed and utilized to verify implementation of policies and procedures.
 - iii. Develop an if/then approach to specific corrective action steps to address departure from the fidelity and quality of service.
- 2. Regarding Risk Level of Youth Served:
 - a. All youth referred to ART® should continue to receive the service despite their Risk Level according to the YLS.