The Stand	ardized	Program Ev	aluation Protocol (SPEP TM):						
Service Score Results: Baseline			SPEP™ ID and Time: 292-T01						
Agency Name:	Youth Adv	ocate Programs							
Program Name:	Traditiona	Traditional Advocate Services							
Service Name:	Forward T	Forward Thinking							
Cohort Total:	28 Amoun	28 Amount of Service/24 Risk Level							
Timeframe of Sel	ected Cohort:	All delinquent youth who beg	gan this service on/after January 1, 2019 and ended this service on/before December 31, 2019						
Referral County(s	s): Lebanon								
Date(s) of Intervio	ew(s): July	31, 2019, November	4, 2019, and November 5, 2019						
Lead County:	Lebanon								

Description of Service:

Probation Representative(s): Matt Kline EPIS Representative: Dawn Hooton

Youth Advocate Programs (YAP) was founded in Pennsylvania in 1975 to help reintegrate youth returning from an adult prison in Camp Hill, Pennsylvania. Since then, YAP has grown to work with approximately 3,000 youth and families per year in 24 counties in Pennsylvania. YAP works with youth and adults in the child welfare, juvenile justice, education, intellectual and developmental disabilities systems.

Traditional Advocate Services focuses on providing youth with one on one mentoring in small group interaction. Service intensity ranges from 5-15 hours per week over 2-3 face-to-face contacts with the Advocate each week. The primary intervention of Traditional Advocate Services is the connection to an Advocate who develops a trusting caring relationship with the youth and their family and serves as a natural helper. This relationship then serves as a pathway to community linkage development and assisting youth and families in building long-term support systems through both formal community linkages (employment, therapeutic services, etc.) and informal community linkages (hobbies, interests, places of interest). This emphasis allows YAP to support youth and their families in addressing the unmet needs that resulted in their system involvement, reducing the likelihood of future system involvement. An emphasis is placed on youth giving back to their communities throughout their YAP involvement where youth and their families can shift from service recipients or clients, to contributors to their neighborhoods and communities instead. Most youth who participate in YAP, complete services successfully and are free from juvenile justice or child welfare system involvement by the end of services.

One on-one mentoring focus by the Advocate, serves as a connector to community linkages, catalyst for change, role modeling, tending to ultimate transition from services. Individual Service Plans (ISP) are created by utilizing the YLS and meeting with the youth and family to drive the service and determine needs. Engagement of the child/family team and developing trusting relationships is delivered across home, community, and school setting. Advocates spend time with informal and formal supports, i.e. family and outside treatment provider. They provide 24/7 crisis support, transportation, tutoring, career exploration, and work force logistics. Emphasis is placed on supporting purposeful transition beyond formal services to ensure child/family can sustain gains/improvements independently after services have ended. Forward Thinking, Girls Circle, Community Service, YAPWorx, and Community Reintegration Program (CRP) are services that can be utilized in conjunction during the mentoring piece.

Forward Thinking is an interactive journaling activity between staff and youth through a structured curriculum. It is delivered in a natural setting with natural language. Topics mirror YLS domains but it is not based on youth's individual criminogenic needs. Forward Thinking is delivered individually and in group settings for at least one hour a week. Advocate staff also receive training in Motivational Interviewing.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the	e most strongly	related to reducing recid	livism:
1. SPEPTM Service Type: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy			
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supple	emental service?	No	
If so, what is the Service Type? There is no qualifying su	ipplemental servic	e	
Was the supplemental service provided? N/A To	otal Points Possik	ole for this Service Type:	35
Total Points	Received: 35	Total Points Possible:	35
2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitorin staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from services	g of quality is defi	ned by existence of written	•
Total Points	Received: 5	Total Points Possible:	20

3. <u>Amount of Service</u> : Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP TM service categorization. Each SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of duration and contact hours. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.								
Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks:	6							
Points received for Contact Hours or Number of Hours:	0	_						
		_		•••	••			
Total Points Rec	eived: _	6	_ Total Points Poss	ible:				
4. <u>Youth Risk Level</u> : The risk level score is compiled by calrisk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk	_		•					
youth in the cohort are Moderate, High, Very Hig youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS R			_	7 0	points points			
			- Total Points Possi	ble:	25			
Basic SPEPTM Score: 53 total points received out of 10 SPEPTM therapeutic service. (e.g. individual counseling competraining, mentoring, etc.)	ired to co	ogniti [.]	ve behavioral therapy	, socia	ul skills			
Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the ser	vice is na	ving a	positive impact on rec	iaivism	reduction.			
Program Optimization Percentage: _53% This percent found in the research. (e.g. individual counseling compared to the research.)	_	-			• •			
The intended use of the SPEP TM is to optimize the effectiveness Recommendations for performance improvement are included recommendations are the focus of the Performance Improvement provider and the juvenile probation department. 1. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery: a. Written Protocol: i. Provide access to a manual to all staff who deliver this service.	in the se ent Plan,	rvice	Feedback Report, and	d these	;			
ii. Develop a service description that outlines in specific detail what should be addressed during service deli iii. Identify in writing which youth are most appropriate for the service according to the YLS. iv. Ensure there is documentation that the manual or written protocol describing the service is implemented v. Ensure that the latest manual is being utilized for service delivery.	-	luring the	service delivery.					
1. Add to Written Protocol that person responsible for delivering service reach out to the developer every b. Staff Training:	6 months to ens	sure the la	test version is being utilized.					
i. Document the minimum education requirement to deliver the service. ii. Identify specialized trainings that are relevant to the service. iii. Identify booster trainings that are relevant to the service. iv. Ensure through documentation that delivery staff receive booster trainings. v. Require and document that the supervisor has been trained to deliver the service. c. Staff Supervision:								
 i. Develop a process for supervisors to monitor service delivery. ii. Document when supervisors monitor staff. 1. In order to establish "best practice", it is recommended that the developer's evaluations be utilized. iii. Document supervision of staff at predetermined time frames. iv. Ensure that all supervisors provide written feedback to staff delivering the service. v. Develop written performance evaluations that directly reference the delivery of this service. 								
d. Organizational Response to Drift: i. Develop a policy and procedure to identify departure from the fidelity and quality of service delivery. ii. Ensure documentation is developed and utilized to verify implementation of policies and procedures. iii. Develop an if/then approach to specific corrective action steps to address departure from fidelity and quality. Develop a procedure to collect data on the fidelity and quality of service delivery. 1. In order to establish "best practice", it is recommended that the developer's pre and posttest be utilized.	•							
v. Develop a process to evaluate and adapt to improve service delivery.								
 Regarding Amount of Service: a. Improve communication with Juvenile Probation to better match research recommendations for the targeted b. Redistribute time allotted to ensure youth receive the necessary aspects of Forward Thinking. 	l amount of serv	rice.						
Regarding Risk Level of Youth Served:a. All youth referred to Forward Thinking should continue to receive the service despite their risk level accord	ling to the Yout	h Level of	Service/Case Management Inventory					

"Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the "Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User's Guide." Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 3.26.2020