The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Baseline		SPEP [™] ID and Time:	0324-T01					
Agency Name:	Lancaster County Youth Intervention Center (LCYIC)							
Program Name:	P.U.L.S.E. Evening Treatment Center (ETC)							
Service Name:	The Council for Boys and Young Men							
Cohort Total:	15 for Amount of Service/14 for Risk Level							
Timeframe of Selected Cohort: January 1, 2019 - March 13, 2020								
Referral County(s):		Lancaster						
Date(s) of Interview(s):		Service classification: March 23, 2021 and Quality of Service Delivery: July 26, 2021						
Lead County: La	ancaster							
Probation Representative(s): Michael McCartin, Supervisor, Lancaster County Juvenile Probation								
EPIS Representative: Dawn Karoscik								

Description of Service:

Lancaster County Youth Intervention Center - The Youth Intervention Center opened its doors on March 9, 2002 and is located on the Sunnyside Peninsula at 235 Circle Avenue in Lancaster, Pennsylvania. The Center provides detention, shelter care services, and alternative treatment programs for males and females from the ages of 10 to 18. The Detention program has a 48 bed capacity, the Shelter program has a 36 bed capacity, and P.U.L.S.E. Weekend Program has a 12 bed capacity.

The P.U.L.S.E. - Providing Uplifting Learning Skills to Excel - Evening Treatment Center (ETC) was started in August 2016. The ETC serves adolescents who are involved with the Office of Juvenile Probation or the Children and Youth Agency. The program is offered to males and females ages 13-18. Participants can be referred to the program in two ways: court-ordered by Juvenile Probation after disposition or recommended by a Children and Youth Caseworker. Participants are transported to the program after school and then transported home at 8:30pm as long as they live within a 12-mile radius of the facility. During the summer months, the participants are transported from their homes to the program. The length of the program is determined by the participant's treatment needs. At a minimum a youth, will be in the program 7 weeks but typical length in the program is 10-12 weeks. The participant's goals, program length, and groups will be determined based on recommendations from the Juvenile Probation Officer or Children and Youth Caseworker, consultation with the parents/guardians, and results of various assessments. The ETC participants participate in evidence-based programs, as well as psycho-educational groups. While at the program, participants receive an evening meal and snack. They are given time to complete their homework, as well as recreation time.

The Council for Boys and Young Men is a strength-based group approach to promote boys' and young men's safe and healthy passage through pre-teen and adolescent years, ages 12-18. The council meets a core development need in boys for strong, positive relationships. In this structured environment, boys and young men gain the vital opportunity to address masculine definitions and behaviors and build their capacities to find their innate value and create good lives- individually and collectively, developer. Referral to this group is based on referral recommendations, youth struggling social with peers, create support system. This is a closed group with a minimum of 4-6 participants. The group is facilitated by 2 staff and runs once a week for 10 weeks.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly rel	ated to redu	icing recidivism:						
1. <u>SPEP™ Service Type</u> : Group Counseling								
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service	e? No							
If so, what is the Service Type? There is no qualifying supplemental se	rvice							
Was the supplemental service provided? N/A Total Point	ts Possible	30						
Total Points Received	: 30	Total Points Possible:	35					
2. <u>Quality of Service</u> : Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.								
	l by existenc	e of written protocol, staff th						

servi SPE	mount of Service: Score was derived by ce. The amount of service is measured by P TM service type has varying amounts of cest impact on recidivism reduction.	the target amounts of service	e for the	SPEP [™] service cate	gorizatio	on. Each
	ts received for Duration or Number of ts received for Contact Hours or Numb					
		Total Points Received:	0	_ Total Points Poss	ible:	20
	Duth Risk Level: The risk level score is cotal % of youth who score above moderat				ve low r	isk, and
12 1	youth in the cohort are Moderate, in the cohort are High or Very Hig			r a total of youth	10 0	points points
		Total Points Received:	10	Total Points Pos	sible:	25
	SPEPTM Score : <u>50</u> total points receired to the second s					
Note:	Services with scores greater than or equ	al to 50 show the service is h	aving a	positive impact on r	ecidivis	m reduction.
Progr resear	am Optimization Percentage: 53% ch. (e.g. individual counseling compared	This percentage compares to all other individual counse	the servi	ce to the same serv vices included in the	ice type research	es found in the
The inten for perfor	EPTM and <u>Performance Improve</u> ded use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the mance improvement are included in the s nce Improvement Plan, a shared responsi	effectiveness of reducing rec service Feedback Report, and	these re-	commendations are t	he focus	of the
 Regarding a. Staff Tra 	Quality of Service Delivery:					
i. Within	n the written protocol clearly describe the facilitate ruct a plan for provision of booster trainings and/o		ators are re	equired to receive in orde	r to contin	ue with facilitation of
b. Staff Su	-				22	
	supervisors do monitor staff conducting the group standing that there will continue to be intermittent		ormal, time	e-based process so that sta	iff can exp	pect observations while
	he aforementioned process consider implementing ed for the feedback to staff	g a more formalized process of obse	rvation do	cumentation. This will er	able docu	imentation to be
iii. In reg	ard to feedback service delivery, consider implement ock on a more consistent basis regardless of perform		ed approac	h that will create opportu	nities for	staff to receive
iv. In reg	ard to staff evaluations, consider creating an adder	ndum to the County evaluation that	-			
-	Council. At minimum, consider including specifics ational Response to Drift:	s surrounding the facilitation of gro	ups within	the standard County eval	uation for	rmat
i. Devel	op a written policy that outlines how to prevent dr				ing sheets	
iii. Ensur	n the written response to drift policy, develop a pro- e that the organizational response to drift policy in ic corrective action steps to address departure from	cludes specific action steps for the	agency to	-	ing an ''if-	-then" approach or
	der ways to collect data on the fidelity and quality					
v. Deve	op a process to evaluate the data collected to adap	t and improve service delivery				
2. Regarding	Amount of Service:					
	r ways to increase the dosage and duration of this	*			natad ame	ount of

- b. Maintain communication with JPO from referring county (Lancaster) to continue matching research recommendations for the targeted amount of service and appropriate length of stay for each youth
- 3. Regarding Risk Level of Youth Served:
 - a. Maintain collaboration with the Lancaster County Office of Juvenile Probation to identify the appropriate risk level for each youth who is completing the service
 - b. Maintain collaboration with the Lancaster County Office of Juvenile Probation to identify appropriate service allocation based on specific YLS domains and responsivity factors

[™]Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the "Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User's Guide." Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 7.26.2021