The Standa	rdized Progr	am Eva	aluation	Proto	col (SPEPTM):	
Service Score Resu					OTM ID and Contact Time:	0359-A01
Agency/Program Name	Bureau of Juvenile Jus	stice Services	(BJJS), Loysvil		evelopment Center (LYDC	
Service Name:	Forward Thinking, Se		· · · · · · · · ·			
Cohort Total:	Cohort data unavailabl	le *				
Cohort Time Frame:	N/A					
Referral County(s):	N/A					
Feedback Report Deliv	•			_		
County/Probation Office			tt Foster, Dauph	in		
EDIC CIC()		laytor, York				
EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Freese	3					_
moderate to high risk youth, however, only addresses individualiz Treatment programmi Forward Thinking is a at LYDC. Interactive Practices (NREPP). I juvenile justice system 9-workbook series that Inventory (YLS). Res journals include: What Communication, Hand two weekly group ses	males. The facility of 2 cottages are current and treatment issues ing is consistent across an interactive journal journaling is included it is a Cognitive Behan in making positive at covers the crimino idents complete 8 Foot Me Here, Indied and Difficult Feelingsions (including the	consists of antly housing along with oss all 3 are along series and avioral The expension needs orward This initial Changs, Victim Communit	four 12-bed congress youth. The state learning and consistency of the state of their thought and state	ottages as Secure Undisplaying outh. The Changal Regists that used is, feeling arough the in a group ponsible and Reen roup) and	provides residential sets well as a secure unit that is for youth ages 16 g appropriate pro-social e Companies and is offery of Evidence-based Pes strategies to assist your sets and behaviors. Forward that is provided that is a secure up or caseload group sets and behavior, Family, Relative Planning. Residents one weekly one on one or	hat can house 18 -20 and al behavior. Fered to all youth Programs and buth in the ard Thinking is a sel of Service setting. Those ationships and a participate in se session with
The four characteris	tics of a service found t	to be the mos	st strongly relate	ed to redu	cing recidivism:	
1. SPEP TM Service T	ype: Cognitive Behavio	oral Therapy				
Based on the meta-ar	nalysis, is there a quali	ifying supple	mental service?	No		
If so, what is the Ser	vice Type? There is no	qualifying su	ipplemental serv	ice		
Was the supplement	al service provided?	N/A	Total Points	Possible f	or this Service Type:	35
		Total Poi	ints Received:	35	Total Points Possible:	35
positive impact on rec		nitoring of qua	ality is defined b		high quality are more like of written protocol, staff to	
		Total Poi	nts Received:	20	Total Points Possible:	20

3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP TM service categorization. Each SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 15 weeks, 45 hours.							
youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of	received the targeted Duration or Number of received the targeted Dosage or Number		N/A* points N/A* points				
	Total Points Received:	N/A* Total Points I	Possible: 20				
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS. The Risk Levels of youth admitted to the program were: low risk, moderate risk, high risk, and very high risk							
youth in the cohort of	are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk		N/A* points				
youth in the cohort of	are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for		N/A* points				
	Total Points Received:	N/A* Total Points P	cossible: 25				
generated. Any data that ha	of qualitative and/or quantitative dat is been shared is strictly for information ler in regard to SPEP TM Performance	onal purposes. Technic	cal assistance will be				

The SPEPTM and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
 - a. Staff Training:
 - i. Develop booster training to occur at pre-determined timeframes.
 - b. Staff Supervision:
 - i. Develop a process for routine observation and documentation of facilitator observation by someone trained in Forward Thinking.
 - ii. Performance reviews should address the fidelity and quality of service delivery specific to Forward Thinking.
 - c. Organizational Response to Drift:
 - i. Develop a policy that includes specific steps to address when Forward Thinking is not delivered in the manner intended. This can include additional training and/or observation, coaching, etc.
 - ii. Develop a system for evaluating the fidelity and quality of service delivery. Data monitoring processes can be enhanced by creating/using resources to monitor service delivery (e.g., "Pre-/Post-Tests").