| The Standa | rdized Program Ev | valuation [| Proto | col (SPEPTM): | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Service Score Resu | | | | TM ID and Contact Time: | 0360-A01 |
| Agency/Program Name | Bureau of Juvenile Justice Service | es (BJJS), Loysvill | e Youth De | evelopment Center (LYDC |) |
| Service Name: | Behavior Modification System, ZI | | | | |
| Cohort Total: | Cohort data unavailable * | | | | |
| Cohort Time Frame: | N/A | | | _ | |
| Referral County(s): | N/A | | | | |
| Feedback Report Deliv | ery: February 29, 2024 | | | | |
| County/Probation Office | cer(s) Involved: Joe Gifford and M | att Foster, Dauphi | n | | |
| EDIC CIC(a), 1: F | Sue Claytor, York | | | | |
| EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Frees | 2 | | | | |
| moderate to high risk youth, however, only addresses individualize | elopment Center (LYDC) is a smales. The facility consists of 2 cottages are currently housing the determinant of the consistent across all 3 are smaller than the consistency across the | f four 12-bed cong youth. The Solid and confident | ottages as Secure Ur displaying | well as a secure unit that is for youth ages 16 | hat can house 18 -20 and |
| assigned a mentor whereferred to with differ accepts their placeme is earned with at least privileges and addition behavior, working on socks, etc.). Residents extra mattress (in Alle can achieve. They get when no one is looking input on rewards earneresident reviews by statements. | the Behavior Modification Systich is a resident on one of the rent names, but essentially havent, is able to follow expectation 2 weeks of good behavior in somal hygiene product selection treatment and rewards include son Level 4 are mentoring new eigheny), higher quality pillow, at extra clothing, can stay up at neg". Youth on Levels 4 and 5 had. The Secure Unit has a slighter aff and residents must be internally, hygiene selection and foother. | highest levels. The the same form one and recognizes school and an are rewards. Let an extra mattrix residents and an extra mattrix residents and an extra war a war ight one hour have access to the htly different let reviewed by staff | Within the nat. Level zes that no bility to fewel 3 is a ress (in ZI are commutch, etc. I later and the Rewarevel system. | the two residential cottand one is achieved when one is coming to respectation chieved with consistents or higher quality claimitted to treatment; revolute to the highest I are expected "to do the d Room each week. Run that includes week! | ges, each level is n the resident cue them. Level 2 ons. Gym nt positive othing (t-shirts, wards include an evel a resident e right thing esidents can have y individual |
| | tics of a service found to be the mo | ost strongly relate | d to reduc | ing recidivism: | |
| | ype: Behavioral Management nalysis, is there a qualifying suppl | emental service? | Yes | | |
| | vice Type? Mentoring | emental sel vice: | 1 68 | | |
| | al service provided? No | Total Points | Possible fo | or this Service Type: | 30 |
| ** | | oints Received: | 25 | Total Points Possible: | 35 |
| positive impact on re | e: Research has shown that program cidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality drift from service delivery is address | ns that deliver ser uality is defined by | rvice with | high quality are more like | ely to have a |

20

Total Points Received:

Total Points Possible:

20

| 3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP TM service categorization. Each SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 24 weeks, 72 hours. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of | received the targeted Duration or Numbe of received the targeted Dosage or Numbe | | $\frac{N/A^*}{N/A^*}$ points | | | | |
| | Total Points Received: | N/A* Total Points I | Possible: 20 | | | | |
| 4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS. The Risk Levels of youth admitted to the program were: low risk, moderate risk, high risk, and very high risk | | | | | | | |
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of | are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for | | N/A* points points | | | | |
| _ | Total Points Received: | N/A* Total Points P | Possible: 25 | | | | |
| generated. Any data that ha | y of qualitative and/or quantitative dan as been shared is strictly for informati der in regard to SPEP TM Performance | ional purposes. Technic | cal assistance will be | | | | |

The SPEPTM and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Regarding Primary & Supplemental Service Types:
 - a. BMS as a Behavior Management service does have qualifying supplemental services as shown in the research. If BMS was enhanced by or tied to a mentoring, mixed counseling, or remedial academic service, an additional 5 points could be added to the service type score, and the service's capacity for recidivism reduction is increased.
- 2. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
 - a. Written Protocol:
 - i. Develop a Behavior Modification System that is consistent across all cottages/units, including names and numbers of levels.
 - b. Staff Training:
 - i. Create a training specific to the use of the BMS.
 - ii. Include in the policies and procedures manual the requirement that staff receive the training, as well as document when the training occurs.
 - iii. Develop booster training to occur at pre-determined timeframes.
 - c. Staff Supervision:
 - i. Performance reviews should address the fidelity and quality of service delivery concerning the BMS.
 - d. Organizational Response to Drift:
 - i. Develop a policy that includes specific steps to address when the BMS is not delivered in the manner intended. This can include additional training and/or observation, coaching, etc.
 - ii. Develop a system for evaluating the fidelity and quality of service delivery for the BMS. Including resident input through exit surveys, data collection such as average number of days residents remain on each level, types of infractions, rewards and sanctions could help to improve consistency among cottages/units in the delivery of the BMS and identify where adaptations might need to occur.
- 3. Regarding Data Collection:
 - a. Develop a tracking system for dosage or number of hours residents and staff are addressing the BMS. This could include group or individual time spent with residents.