| The Standa   | rdized Program Ev   | valuation 1  | Proto   | col (SPEPTM):  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Service Score Resi   |   |  |   | TM ID and Contact Time:  | 0361-A01   |
| Agency/Program Name  | Bureau of Juvenile Justice Service  | es (BJJS), Loysvill  | e Youth De  | evelopment Center (LYDC  | )  |
| Service Name:  | Behavior Modification System, Se  |  |   |  |  |
| Cohort Total:  | Cohort data unavailable *   |  |   |  |  |
| Cohort Time Frame:   | N/A   |  |   | <del>_</del>   |  |
| Referral County(s):  | N/A   |  |   |  |  |
| Feedback Report Deliv  | very: February 29, 2024   |  |   |  |  |
| County/Probation Office  | cer(s) Involved: Joe Gifford and M  | att Foster, Dauphi   | n   |  |  |
| EDIG GIG( )  | Sue Claytor, York   |  |   |  |  |
| EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Fetze  | r and Lisa Freese   |  |   |  |  |
| moderate to high risk<br>youth, however, only<br>addresses individualiz<br>Treatment programm  | elopment Center (LYDC) is a smales. The facility consists of 2 cottages are currently housing zed treatment issues along withing is consistent across all 3 are the Behavior Modification Sys   | f four 12-bed cong youth. The Ship learning and creas housing yo   | ottages as<br>Secure Undisplaying<br>uth.   | well as a secure unit the well as a secure unit the secure unit the secure unit the secure unit the well as a secure unit the secure unit the well as a secure unit the well a | hat can house 18<br>-20 and<br>Il behavior.  |
| assigned a mentor whereferred to with differ accepts their placeme is earned with at least privileges and addition behavior, working on socks, etc.). Resident extra mattress (in Allecan achieve. They get when no one is looking input on rewards earnersident reviews by steatra time on phone of | rent names, but essentially havent, is able to follow expectation to 2 weeks of good behavior in a small hygiene product selection treatment and rewards include son Level 4 are mentoring nevergheny), higher quality pillow to extra clothing, can stay up at ng". Youth on Levels 4 and 5 had. The Secure Unit has a slig traff and residents must be intereally, hygiene selection and foot | highest levels. The the same form one and recognizes school and an are rewards. Let an extra mattrix residents and an extra mattrix residents and an extra war a war inght one hour have access to the htly different let wiewed by staffed rewards. | Within the nat. Level zes that no bility to fevel 3 is a ress (in ZI are commutch, etc. I later and the Rewar evel systems for earn 1 | the two residential cottants one is achieved when one is coming to resolve the consister of the consistency of the consister of the consistency of the consister of the consiste | ges, each level is in the resident cue them. Level 2 ons. Gym int positive othing (t-shirts, wards include an evel a resident e right thing esidents can have y individual |
|  | stics of a service found to be the mo<br>type: Behavioral Management  | ost strongly relate  | d to reduc  | ing recidivism:  |  |
| •  | nalysis, is there a qualifying suppl  | emental service?   | Yes   |  |  |
|  | rvice Type? Mentoring   |  |   |  |  |
| Was the supplement   | tal service provided? No  | <b>Total Points</b>  | Possible fo   | or this Service Type:  | 30   |
|  | Total P   | oints Received:  | 25  | <b>Total Points Possible:</b>  | 35   |
| positive impact on re  | e: Research has shown that program cidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality drift from service delivery is address   | uality is defined by   |   |  |  |

10

**Total Points Received:** 

**Total Points Possible:** 

**20** 

| 3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service categorization. Each SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 24 weeks, 72 hours. |   |                         |                              |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of  | received the targeted Duration or Numbe of received the targeted Dosage or Numbe  |                         | $\frac{N/A^*}{N/A^*}$ points |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Total Points Received:</b>   | N/A* Total Points I     | Possible: 20                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS. The Risk Levels of youth admitted to the program were: low risk, moderate risk, high risk, and very high risk   |   |                         |                              |  |  |  |  |
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of  | are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk<br>are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for  |                         | N/A* points points           |  |  |  |  |
| _  | <b>Total Points Received:</b>   | N/A* Total Points P     | Possible: 25                 |  |  |  |  |
| generated. Any data that ha  | y of qualitative and/or quantitative dan<br>as been shared is strictly for informati<br>der in regard to SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Performance | ional purposes. Technic | cal assistance will be       |  |  |  |  |

## The SPEP<sup>TM</sup> and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEP<sup>TM</sup> is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Regarding Primary & Supplemental Service Types:
  - a. BMS as a Behavior Management service does have qualifying supplemental services as shown in the research. If BMS was enhanced by or tied to a mentoring, mixed counseling, or remedial academic service, an additional 5 points could be added to the service type score, and the service's capacity for recidivism reduction is increased.
- 2. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
  - a. Written Protocol:
    - i. Develop a Behavior Modification System that is consistent across all cottages/units, including names and numbers of levels.
  - b. Staff Training:
    - i. Create a training specific to the use of the BMS.
    - ii. Include in the policies and procedures manual the requirement that staff receive the training, as well as document when the training occurs.
    - iii. Develop booster training to occur at pre-determined timeframes.
  - c. Staff Supervision:
    - i. Performance reviews should address the fidelity and quality of service delivery concerning the BMS.
  - d. Organizational Response to Drift:
    - i. Develop a policy that includes specific steps to address when the BMS is not delivered in the manner intended. This can include additional training and/or observation, coaching, etc.
    - ii. Develop a system for evaluating the fidelity and quality of service delivery for the BMS. Including resident input through exit surveys, data collection such as average number of days residents remain on each level, types of infractions, rewards and sanctions could help to improve consistency among cottages/units in the delivery of the BMS and identify where adaptations might need to occur.
- 3. Regarding Data Collection:
  - a. Develop a tracking system for dosage or number of hours residents and staff are addressing the BMS. This could include group or individual time spent with residents.