The Standa	rdized Program Ev	valuation [	Proto	col (SPEPTM):	
Service Score Resu				TM ID and Contact Time:	0364-A01
Agency/Program Name	Bureau of Juvenile Justice Service	es (BJJS), Loysvill	e Youth De	evelopment Center (LYDC	)
Service Name:	Behavior Modification System, Al				
Cohort Total:	Cohort data unavailable *				
Cohort Time Frame:	N/A				
Referral County(s):	N/A				
Feedback Report Deliv	rery: February, 29, 2024				
County/Probation Office	cer(s) Involved: Joe Gifford and M	att Foster, Dauphi	n		
EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Frees	Sue Claytor, York				
El 13 313(s). Lisa Frees	<u> </u>				
moderate to high risk youth, however, only addresses individualize	elopment Center (LYDC) is a smales. The facility consists of 2 cottages are currently housing the determinant of the consistent across all 3 are smaller to the consistent across all 3 are smaller.	f four 12-bed cong youth. The Solid hale arning and continued and continued are solid to the solid hale arning and continued are solid to the solid hale arning and continued are solid to the solid hale are solid hale are solid to the solid hale are solid hale are solid to the solid hale are solid to the solid	ottages as Secure Un displaying	well as a secure unit that is for youth ages 16	hat can house 18 -20 and
assigned a mentor whereferred to with differ accepts their placeme is earned with at least privileges and addition behavior, working on socks, etc.). Residents extra mattress (in Alle can achieve. They get when no one is looking input on rewards earn resident reviews by st	the Behavior Modification Systich is a resident on one of the rent names, but essentially havent, is able to follow expectation 2 weeks of good behavior in somal hygiene product selection treatment and rewards include son Level 4 are mentoring new eigheny), higher quality pillow, at extra clothing, can stay up at neg". Youth on Levels 4 and 5 had. The Secure Unit has a slig traff and residents must be internally, hygiene selection and foot	highest levels. The the same form one and recognizes school and an are rewards. Let an extra mattrix residents and an extra mattrix residents and an extra war a war ight one hour have access to the htly different let reviewed by staff	Within the nat. Level zes that no bility to fewel 3 is a ress (in ZI are commutch, etc. I later and the Reware evel system.	the two residential cotta I One is achieved when to one is coming to reso follow basic expectation chieved with consister B) or higher quality clamitted to treatment; revoluted to the highest I are expected "to do the d Room each week. Room that includes weekly	ges, each level is in the resident cue them. Level 2 ins. Gym int positive othing (t-shirts, wards include an evel a resident e right thing esidents can have y individual
	tics of a service found to be the mo	ost strongly relate	d to reduc	ing recidivism:	
	ype: Behavioral Management nalysis, is there a qualifying suppl	emental service?	Yes		
	vice Type? Mentoring	emental service?	1 68		
	al service provided? No	Total Points	Possible fo	or this Service Type:	30
		oints Received:	25	Total Points Possible:	35
positive impact on re	e: Research has shown that program cidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality drift from service delivery is address	ns that deliver ser uality is defined by	rvice with	high quality are more like	ly to have a

20

**Total Points Received:** 

**Total Points Possible:** 

**20** 

3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service categorization. Each SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 24 weeks, 72 hours.							
	geted Duration or Number targeted Dosage or Number		N/A* points N/A* points				
	<b>Total Points Received:</b>	N/A* Total Points l	Possible: 20				
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS. The Risk Levels of youth admitted to the program were: low risk, moderate risk, high risk, and very high risk							
	High, Very High YLS Risk ry High YLS Risk Level for		N/A* points N/A* points				
youth in the conort of are riigh of ver	Total Points Received:	N/A* Total Points P	<del></del>				
* Due to limited availability of qualitative generated. Any data that has been shared offered to the service provider in regard to the future.	is strictly for information	onal purposes. Technic	cal assistance will be				

## The SPEP<sup>TM</sup> and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEP<sup>TM</sup> is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Regarding Primary & Supplemental Service Types:
  - a. BMS as a Behavior Management service does have qualifying supplemental services as shown in the research. If BMS was enhanced by or tied to a mentoring, mixed counseling, or remedial academic service, an additional 5 points could be added to the service type score, and the service's capacity for recidivism reduction is increased.
- 2. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
  - a. Written Protocol:
    - i. Develop a Behavior Modification System that is consistent across all cottages/units, including names and numbers of levels.
  - b. Staff Training:
    - i. Create a training specific to the use of the BMS.
    - ii. Include in the policies and procedures manual the requirement that staff receive the training, as well as document when the training occurs.
    - iii. Develop booster training to occur at pre-determined timeframes.
  - c. Staff Supervision:
    - i. Performance reviews should address the fidelity and quality of service delivery concerning the BMS.
  - d. Organizational Response to Drift:
    - i. Develop a policy that includes specific steps to address when the BMS is not delivered in the manner intended. This can include additional training and/or observation, coaching, etc.
    - ii. Develop a system for evaluating the fidelity and quality of service delivery for the BMS. Including resident input through exit surveys, data collection such as average number of days residents remain on each level, types of infractions, rewards and sanctions could help to improve consistency among cottages/units in the delivery of the BMS and identify where adaptations might need to occur.
- 3. Regarding Data Collection:
  - a. Develop a tracking system for dosage or number of hours residents and staff are addressing the BMS. This could include group or individual time spent with residents.