The Standar	rdized Program Ev	aluation l	Proto	col (SPEPTM):		
Service Score Resu				TM ID and Contact Time:	0365-A01	
Agency/Program Name:	Bureau of Juvenile Justice Service	es (BJJS), Loysville	Youth De	evelopment Center (LYDC	<u> </u>	
Service Name:	Forward Thinking, ZB Cottage					
Cohort Total:	Cohort data unavailable *					
Cohort Time Frame:	N/A			_		
Referral County(s):	N/A					
Feedback Report Delive	ery: February 29, 2024					
County/Probation Officer(s) Involved: Joe Gifford and Matt Foster, Dauphin						
	Sue Claytor, York					
EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Freese						
youth, however, only addresses individualiz Treatment programming. Forward Thinking is at LYDC. Interactive juvenile justice system 9-workbook series that Inventory (YLS). Resignation of the communication, Hand two weekly group sessions.	males. The facility consists of 2 cottages are currently housing ed treatment issues along withing is consistent across all 3 are in interactive journaling series ournaling is included in SAM as a Cognitive Behavioral Than in making positive changes to the criminogenic needents complete 8 Forward That Got Me Here, Individual Challing Difficult Feelings, Victingsions (including the Communications) and the Communication of the Communication of the Complete States of the Communication of the Communication of the Complete States of the Complete States of the Communication of the Complete States of the Complete States of the Complete States of the Communication of the Complete States of the Comp	ng youth. The S n learning and d reas housing you designed by the ISHA's National terapy approach to their thoughts ds identified thr inking Journals ange Plan, Resp m Awareness, a ity Building Gro	ecure Unisplaying ath. e Change I Registre that uses so, feelings rough the in a group onsible I and Reent oup) and	e Companies and is of y of Evidence-based Is strategies to assist your residents' Youth Level por caseload group sehavior, Family, Relay Planning. Resident one weekly one on on	fered to all youth Programs and outh in the rard Thinking is a rel of Service setting. Those ationships and is participate in the session with	
	ics of a service found to be the mo		d to reduci	ing recidivism:		
1. SPEPTM Service Type: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? No						
	vice Type? There is no qualifying s		No			
	Il service provided? N/A			r this Service Type:	35	
r	- "	oints Received:	o =	Total Points Possible:	35	
positive impact on rec	Research has shown that program idivism reduction. Monitoring of qualify from service delivery is address	ns that deliver ser uality is defined by	vice with l	high quality are more like	ely to have a	

20

Total Points Possible:

Total Points Received:

20

3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP TM service categorization. Each SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 15 weeks, 45 hours.							
youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of	received the targeted Duration or Number of received the targeted Dosage or Number		N/A* points N/A* points				
	Total Points Received:	N/A* Total Points I	Possible: 20				
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS. The Risk Levels of youth admitted to the program were: low risk, moderate risk, high risk, and very high risk							
youth in the cohort of	are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk		N/A* points				
youth in the cohort of	are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for		N/A* points				
	Total Points Received:	N/A* Total Points P	cossible: 25				
generated. Any data that ha	of qualitative and/or quantitative dat is been shared is strictly for information ler in regard to SPEP TM Performance	onal purposes. Technic	cal assistance will be				

The SPEPTM and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Regarding Quality of Service Delivery:
 - a. Staff Training:
 - i. Develop booster training to occur at pre-determined timeframes.
 - b. Staff Supervision:
 - i. Develop a process for routine observation and documentation of facilitator observation by someone trained in Forward Thinking.
 - ii. Performance reviews should address the fidelity and quality of service delivery specific to Forward Thinking.
 - c. Organizational Response to Drift:
 - i. Develop a policy that includes specific steps to address when Forward Thinking is not delivered in the manner intended. This can include additional training and/or observation, coaching, etc.
 - ii. Develop a system for evaluating the fidelity and quality of service delivery. Data monitoring processes can be enhanced by creating/using resources to monitor service delivery (e.g., "Pre-/Post-Tests").