The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Baseline

Agency Name: Bureau of Juvenile Justice Services (BJJS)
Program Name: Youth Forestry Camp #3 (YFC3)
Service Name: Remedial Academics
Cohort Total: 30
Timeframe of Selected Cohort: January 2013 to May 2014
Referral County(s): Lehigh and multiple counties
Date(s) of Interview(s): March 7, 2014, June 9, 2014, & July 15, 2014
Lead County: Lehigh
Probation Representative(s): Tracie Davies
EPIS Representative: Lisa Freese & Heather Perry

Description of Service:
The Bureau of Juvenile Justice Services (BJJS) is responsible for the management, operations, program planning and oversight of Pennsylvania’s youth development center/youth forestry camp facilities. These facilities are designed to provide state-of-the-art treatment, care and custody services to Pennsylvania’s most at-risk youth. The facilities serve both male and female adolescents who have been adjudicated delinquent by their county judicial system. Treatment services are individualized based on strengths and needs. The Balanced and Restorative Justice principles are consistently rooted throughout each facility.

Youth Forestry Camp #3 (YFC#3) is a 50 bed open residential facility for adjudicated males between the ages of 14 and 20 located in Huntingdon County. It is one of six facilities under BJJS’s jurisdiction. There are two treatment options available to the youth at YFC#3. B Dorm, which is their residential program, combines both individual and group counseling and consists of a 3 track system. Track 1 residents participate in an intensive 3-4 month group counseling cycle that addresses various identified competencies. Track 2 consists of a 2 month rotation of vocational and technical training while maintaining a focus on the delinquency factors that necessitated placement. Track 3 places an additional emphasis on vocational training, job readiness, and independent living. The 2nd treatment option is First Step or A Dorm, which is a 1 track, 84 day, 12 week program for residents who have been identified as having a significant substance abuse problem.

The focus of this report is the academic services/educational programs that are provided at YFC#3. According to the YFC#3 manual, educational and vocational services are run directly through the Tuscarora Intermediate Unit 11. Education delivery is accomplished through competency-based individualized instruction, with multiple delivery modes and educational strategies. The educational and vocational programming is designed to meet the standards of the Pennsylvania Academic and Career/Technical Training Alliance (PACTT).

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:
1. SPEPTM Service Type: Remedial Academic Program

Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? Yes
If so, what is the Service Type? Job Related Training
Was the supplemental service provided? Yes

Total Points Possible for this Service Type: 15
Total Points Received: 15
Total Points Possible: 35

2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training, staff supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.

Total Points Received: 20
Total Points Possible: 20
3. **Amount of Service**: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEPTM service categorization. Each SPEPTM service type has varying amounts of duration and contact hours. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.

| Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: | 0 |
| Points received for Contact Hours or Number of Hours: | 8 |

**Total Points Received:** 8  **Total Points Possible:** 20

4. **Youth Risk Level**: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.

| 30 youth in the cohort are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of | 12 points |
| 13 youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of | 13 points |

**Total Points Received:** 25  **Total Points Possible:** 25

---

**Basic SPEPTM Score**: __68__ total points received out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEPTM therapeutic service. (*e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.*)

*Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.*

**Program Optimization Percentage**: __85%__ This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (*e.g. individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research.*)

---

**The SPEPTM and Performance Improvement**

The intended use of the SPEPTM is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service Feedback Report, and these recommendations are the focus of the Performance Improvement Plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the juvenile probation department.

1. Collaborating with the probation department and investigating ways to meet targets:
   a. Striving to ensure all students reach a minimum of 26 weeks of service.
   b. Striving to ensure all students reach a minimum of 100 contact hours.

2. Strengthening the Youth Forestry Camp #3 Policies and Procedures Manual:
   a. Being more specific (on page 13 of the Service Descriptions) when describing the Remedial Educational services to be provided as well as the specific audience (ie. age range, level of risk).
   b. Documenting the date the manual is reviewed and updated.

3. Improving upon the existing data collection process:
   a. Track the data (number of weeks the youth participated in the service; number of hours the youth received the service; and the YLS scores).
   b. Explore ways to obtain additional outcome data (peer reviews or additional ways to obtain feedback from youth and families where appropriate).

---

**Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the “Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User’s Guide.” Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 3.26.2020**
The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

**Service Score Results:** Reassessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program and Service: Youth Forestry Camp #3-Remedial Academics</th>
<th>SPEG ID: 36-T02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort Total: 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Timeframe: Mar. 1, 2016 – Mar. 1, 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date(s) of Interview(s): May 3, 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead County &amp; SPEG Team Representatives: Tracie Davies, Lehigh Co., &amp; Heather Perry, EPISCenter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Preparing Report: Tracie Davies and Heather Perry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of Service:** This should include a brief overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEG service type classification. (350 character limit)

BJJS is responsible for the management, operations, program planning and oversight of PA's youth development center/youth forestry camp facilities. These facilities are designed to provide state-of-the-art treatment, care and custody services to Pennsylvania's most at-risk youth. The facilities serve both male and female adolescents who have been adjudicated delinquent by the juvenile court. Treatment services are individualized based on strengths and needs. Youth Forestry Camp #3 (YFC#3) is a 50 bed open residential facility, that serves adjudicated males between the ages of 14 and 20. There are two types of treatment paths available to the youth at YFC#3. B Dorm combines both individual and group counseling and consists of a 3 track system. First Step or A Dorm, is comprised of an 112 day, 16 week program for residents who have been identified as having significant substance abuse. The focus of this report is the academic services/educational programs that are provided at YFC#3. Education delivery is accomplished through competency-based individualized instruction, with multiple delivery modes and educational strategies. The educational and vocational programming is designed to meet the standards of the Pennsylvania Academic and Career/Technical Training Alliance (PACTT). Upon intake, each youth receives a series of diagnostic tests to include, pre and post TABE tests and Odysseyware. These tests determine grade levels, abilities and interests. Core subjects are covered such as, English, Science, Social Studies, Math, Computer Applications and Life Skills; as are more specialized needs such as Special Education, which include emotional and learning support. Remedial Math and Remedial Reading is offered for really low scoring students which provides more individualized attention. Home schools are contacted to obtain school records and determine the youth’s graduation requirements. Each youth receives his own lesson/educational plan often referred to as the Individual Plan of Instruction (IPI). This is similar to an Individualized Education Plan (IEP), which is a combination of remedial and vocational goals based on individual ability level. The school operates on a 180-day school year and students receive an average of 5 ½ hours of instruction per each day. There are currently 2 Special Education teachers and a full time Para Professional. The facility is in the process of hiring a 2nd Para Professional to work with the students. There are 4-6 students per classroom. Coaching and tutoring are provided on a daily basis. Students are divided based on ability but do consider behavior and age, including older students who are working toward their high school diploma. There is GED preparation for those who wish to obtain their GED. GED testing if offered on-site as well as SAT and ASVAB.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:

1. **SPEPTM Service Type:** Remedial Academic Program

   Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? Yes
   
   If so, what is the Service type? Job related training
   
   Was the supplemental service provided? Yes

   **Total Points Possible for this Service Type:** 15

   **Total Points Earned:** 15

2. **Quality of Service:** Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.

   **Total Points Earned:** 20

   **Total Points Possible:** 20
3. **Amount of Service:** Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.

| Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: | 0 |
| Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: | 10 |

Total Points Earned: 10  Total Points Possible: 20

4. **Youth Risk Level:** The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.

- 52 youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 12 points
- 18 youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 10 points

Total Points Earned: 22  Total Points Possible: 25

**Basic SPEPTM Score:** 67 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. *(eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.)*

**Program Optimization Percentage:** 84% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. *(eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)*

**The SPEP and Performance Improvement**

The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:

The Job Training Program scored a 67 for the Basic Score and 84% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 2 service – Remedial Academic Program service type. These scores represent a decrease from the baseline findings of the initial SPEPTM scoring results. *It should be noted that during this cohort timeframe, 3 youth went AWOL and were subsequently removed from the program. Further, 9 youth were released before the youth successfully completed the program and 1 aged out. If these 13 youth had reached the recommended duration, an additional 4 points would have been earned in the duration category.

The program could continue to improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through:

1. Enhance the current Policy/practice to identify specific corrective action steps that would occur when drift in service delivery is identified.
2. Explore opportunities to ensure students reach a minimum of 26 weeks of service