### Description of Service:

This should include a brief overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP service type classification. (350 character limit)

Adelphoi Village provides various types and levels of residential treatment programs for male and female youth across Pennsylvania. Types of treatment include: General Secure Care/Male and Female; Secure Care for Sex Offenders/Male; Independent Living Group Homes/Male; Drug and Alcohol Group Home/Male; Intensive Supervision Group Homes/ Male and Female (inclusive of a specialty unit with a mental health focus/Female; and Shelter/Male and Female. Treatment is individualized, designed to address a number of criminogenic risk factors, inclusive of anger and aggression issues, poor problem solving, mild to moderate mental health issues, strained family dynamics, and trauma. Adelphoi Village’s philosophy is that the cycle of degeneration can be altered in favor of positive growth and success through applying the beliefs and principles of brotherly concern coupled with proven modalities.

Youth are placed by the courts within one of Adelphoi Village’s General Secure Care programs at varied stages of court involvement. This may be the initial out of home placement for a youth or placement here may be upon the court transitioning a youth already in placement to a more restrictive level of care. The average length of stay varies across Adelphoi Village’s different program types. The average length of stay for a youth within one of Adelphoi Village’s General Secure Care programs falls within the 5 to 6-month range.

All youth in this program receive weekly individual counseling sessions with an Adelphoi Village counselor, also known as a “one-on-one”. Individual counseling sessions are intended to provide the youth with scheduled, private and uninterrupted opportunities where they can discuss the progress that they have made towards their treatment goals. Individual counseling sessions are utilized to incorporate the various aspects of treatment provided by the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. **SPEP™ Service Type**: Individual Counseling  
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? No  
If so, what is the Service type? There is no qualifying supplemental service  
Was the supplemental service provided? n/a  
Total Points Possible for this Service Type: 10  
Total Points Earned: 10  
Total Points Possible: 35  |
| 2. **Quality of Service**: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.  
Total Points Earned: 20  
Total Points Possible: 20  |
3. **Amount of Service**: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.

   **Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks**: 6
   **Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours**: 4
   
   Total Points Earned: 10 Total Points Possible: 20

4. **Youth Risk Level**: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.

   14/14 youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 12 points
   7/14 youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 13 points
   
   Total Points Earned: 25 Total Points Possible: 25

**Basic SPEP™ Score**: 65 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.)

**Note**: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.

**Program Optimization Percentage**: 87% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)

---

**The SPEP and Performance Improvement**

The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:

Individual Counseling scored a 65 for the Basic Score and an 87% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 1 service; Individual Counseling service type with no qualifying supplemental service. The quality of the service was found to be at a High level. The amount of service provided to the clients was 71% of the recommended targeted weeks of duration and 59% of the recommended target contact hours for this service type. The risk levels of youth admitted to the program were 0% as low risk, 50% as moderate risk and 50% as high risk. The program could continue to improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through:

1. Regarding Amount of Service: Improve communication with juvenile probation departments that use this service on the research supported amount of service that should be provided for this service type.

---

™Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of the content in this fact sheet are adapted from the “Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A Users Guide.” Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October, 2014.
The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPT™):

**Service Score Results:** Reassessment

**Name of Program and Service:** Adelphoi Village-Transitional Youth from Secure to Intensive Supervision Male & Female Group Homes-Individual Counseling

- **Cohort Total:** 19/18
- **SPEP ID:** 100-T02
- **Selected Timeframe:** Jul. 1, 2015 - Jul. 1 2017
- **Date(s) of Interview(s):** Jun. 23, 2017 & Jul. 20, 2017
- **Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives:** Bill Holt, Allegheny County & Shawn Peck, EPISCenter
- **Person Preparing Report:** Bill Shultz & Shawn Peck

**Description of Service:** *This should include a brief overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP service type classification. (350 character limit)*

Adelphoi Village provides various types and levels of residential treatment programs for male and female youth across Pennsylvania. Types of treatment include: General Secure Care/Male and Female; Secure Care for Sex Offenders/Male; Independent Living Group Homes/Male; Drug and Alcohol Group Home/Male; Intensive Supervision Group Homes/Male and Female (inclusive of a specialty unit with a mental health focus/Female); and Shelter/Male and Female. Treatment is individualized and is designed to address a number of criminogenic risk factors, inclusive of anger and aggression issues, poor problem solving, mild to moderate mental health issues, strained family dynamics, and trauma. Adelphoi Village’s philosophy is that the cycle of degeneration can be altered in favor of positive growth and success through applying the beliefs and principles of brotherly concern coupled with proven modalities. Youth are placed by the courts within one of Adelphoi Village’s General Secure Care and Intensive Supervision Group Homes at varied stages of court involvement. This may be the initial out-of-home placement for a youth or may be upon the court transitioning a youth already in placement, within a more restrictive level of care, to a less restrictive level of care. The average length of stay varies across Adelphoi Village’s different program types.

All youth in this program receive weekly individual counseling sessions with an Adelphoi Village counselor, also known as a “one-on-one”. Individual counseling sessions are intended to provide the youth with scheduled, private, and uninterrupted opportunities where they can discuss the progress that they have made toward their treatment goals. Individual counseling sessions are utilized to incorporate the various aspects of treatment provided by the program. Each individual counseling session is recorded on a Summary Progress Note and reviewed by supervisors to ensure that youth are receiving the necessary content and also to verify that the information that they are learning within these counseling sessions is integrated into the various aspects of their treatment.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:

1. **SPEPT™ Service Type:** Individual Counseling
   - Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? **No**
   - If so, what is the Service type? There is no qualifying supplemental service
   - Was the supplemental service provided? **n/a**
   - **Total Points Possible for this Service Type:** 10
   - **Total Points Earned:** 10
   - **Total Points Possible:** 35

2. **Quality of Service:** Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.
   - **Total Points Earned:** 20
   - **Total Points Possible:** 20
3. **Amount of Service**: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.

   **Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks**: 8
   **Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours**: 8
   Total Points Earned: 16  Total Points Possible: 20

4. **Youth Risk Level**: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.

   - 17% youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 12 points
   - 5% youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 8 points
   Total Points Earned: 20  Total Points Possible: 25

**Basic SPEP™ Score**: 66 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. *(e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.)*

**Note**: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.

**Program Optimization Percentage**: 88% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. *(e.g. individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)*

**The SPEP and Performance Improvement**

The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:

Individual Counseling scored a 66 for the Basic Score and an 88% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 1 service; Individual Counseling service type with no qualifying supplemental service. The quality of the service was found to be at a High level. For amount of service, 95% of the youth received the recommended targeted weeks of duration and 95% of the youth received the recommended targeted contact hours for this service type. The risk levels of youth admitted to the program were 6% as low risk, 66% as moderate risk, and 28% as high risk. The program could continue to improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through:

1. Regarding Amount of Service:
   - a. Improve communication with the Juvenile Probation Departments that use this service on the research supported amount of service that should be provided for this service type.
2. Regarding Risk Level of Youth Served:
   - a. Improve communication with the Juvenile Probation Departments that use this service to better match research recommendations for the Level of Risk; there are larger positive effects on recidivism with high risk juveniles.