## Confidentiality: The Intersection Between the Addiction Treatment and Criminal Justice Systems

CJAB Conference April 10, 2024



# Act 33: Pennsylvania's "New" SUD Confidentiality Law

- In July 2022, Act 33 was signed, aligning Pennsylvania's rules regarding the confidentiality of SUD records with the federal regulations at 42 CFR Part 2.
- As a result, 4 Pa. Code § 255.5 the commonwealth's former SUD confidentiality law – is no longer enforceable.
- These changes have affected the sharing of SUD treatment information between providers, government, law enforcement, judges and court staff, probation and parole officers, insurance companies, and health plans.



## Pennsylvania Pre-Act 33

4 Pa. Code § 255.5 allowed for disclosures to government, law enforcement, and court staff without consent or a court order, but only five points of information could be released, even if the client consented in writing to the disclosure:

- Whether the client is or is not in treatment;
- The prognosis of the client;
- The nature of the project;
- A brief description of the progress of the client;
- A short statement as to whether the client has relapsed into drug or alcohol use and the frequency of such relapse.



## Pennsylvania Post-Act 33

Today, under Act 33 and aligned with 42 CFR Part 2, Pennsylvania providers may disclose information to the extent the client authorizes such disclosure in writing or to the extent a court order issued under Part 2 directs a provider to release information.



### What Can Be Disclosed?

#### General rule

All patient records are confidential. Nothing gets released without proper consent unless it falls into one of the following exceptions:

With Valid Consent	Suspected Child Abuse and Neglect
Medical Emergency	Non-Patient Identifying Information
Research, Audit and Evaluation	Crime on Premises
<b>Internal Program Communication</b>	<b>Qualified Service Organization Agreement</b>
<b>Good Cause Court Order</b>	



# Implications for SUD Treatment and Criminal Justice Systems

- Under 42 CFR Part 2, providers now must focus on whether written consent or a court order is present and valid and to what extent the written consent or court order authorizes disclosure.
- 42 CFR Part 2 expressly includes that neither a subpoena nor warrant under state law permit a provider to confirm or deny any patient information without written consent or the Part 2 good cause court order.



# Upcoming Changes to 42 CFR Part 2

• There will be changes (i.e., the final rule) that take effect on April 16, 2024. Providers have until Feb. 16, 2026, to comply. Changes include but are not limited to:

#### Patient Consent

- Allows a single consent for all future uses and disclosures for treatment, payment, and health care operations.
- Allows HIPAA covered entities and business associates that receive records under this consent to redisclose the records in accordance with the HIPAA regulations.

#### Other Uses and Disclosures

- Permits disclosure of records without patient consent to public health authorities, provided that the records disclosed are deidentified according to the standards established in the HIPAA Privacy Rule.
- Restricts the use of records and testimony in civil, criminal, administrative, and legislative proceedings against patients, absent patient consent or a court order.



# Upcoming Changes to 42 CFR Part 2

- As has always been the case under Part 2, patients' SUD treatment records cannot be used to investigate or prosecute the patient without written patient consent or a court order.
- Records obtained in an audit or evaluation of a Part 2 program cannot be used to investigate or prosecute patients, absent written consent of the patients or a court order that meets Part 2 requirements.



## Effective Implementation of Act 33

### Providers and law enforcement must:

- Fully understand 42 CFR Part 2; and
- Collaborate where limitations imposed by 42 CFR Part 2 can be overcome lawfully in order to obtain protected information when it is required for legitimate reasons.



### Act 33 Resources

- George Washington University: Pennsylvania Law and Policy Governing the Confidentiality of Substance Use Treatment Information: Challenges and Opportunities
  - (http://www.healthinfolaw.org/PA\_substance\_use\_information\_confidentiality)
- DDAP Stakeholder Assessment of Pennsylvania's Substance Use Disorder Confidentiality Policies
  - (<a href="https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Documents/Agency%20Reports/DDAP-SUDConfidentialityReport-FINAL.pdf">https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Documents/Agency%20Reports/DDAP-SUDConfidentialityReport-FINAL.pdf</a>)
- DDAP Information Bulletin: Act 33 of 2022 Confidentiality of Substance Use Disorder Records (<a href="https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Documents/Information%20Bulletins/IB%2002-">https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Documents/Information%20Bulletins/IB%2002-</a>
- DDAP Updated SUD Confidentiality Training
   <a href="mailto:(https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Training/Documents/Confidentiality OnlineModule\_Annoucement Sept2022.pdf">https://www.ddap.pa.gov/Training/Documents/Confidentiality OnlineModule\_Annoucement Sept2022.pdf</a>)



22.pdf)

### **Presenters**

John Adams
District Attorney
Berks County

JAdams@berkspa.gov

Paul Cannon
Director, Clinical Care Services
UPMC Western Behavioral Health at
Twin Lakes Center
cannonpv@upmc.edu

Gary Klimowicz
Parole Agent
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
<a href="mailto:gklimowicz@pa.gov">gklimowicz@pa.gov</a>

David Pertile

Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Pyramid Healthcare, Inc.

dpertile@pyramidhc.com

Jason Snyder
SUD Treatment Services Policy Director
Rehabilitation and Community Providers
Association (RCPA)
<a href="mailto:isnyder@paproviders.org">isnyder@paproviders.org</a>

